

## **ANCILLARY DOCUMENT REVIEW SUPPLEMENT** (This form must be filled out electronically.)

This form is to be used when reviewing interpretive and/or policy statement(s) in conjunction with the review of a rule.

Interpretive and/or policy statements are issued by the Department of Revenue under authority of RCW 34.05.230. These statements explain the Department's policy regarding how tax law applies to a specific issue or specific set of facts. These statements include documents such as Excise Tax Advisories (ETAs), Property Tax Advisories and Bulletins (PTAs/PTBs), and Interim Audit Guidelines (IAGs).

Reviewer:	Mark Mullin		Date Reviewed:	<b>April 15, 20</b>	03	
Interpretive or policy statement(s) being reviewed (provide number and title):		ETA 484.04.171 (Corporation and stockholder distinct entities for business and occupation tax purposes)				
Date issued:	:	July 1, 1974				
	ent is being reviewed in conjude WAC number and title):	unction	WAC 458-20-171 (improving streets, owned by a munic political subdivision United States and for foot or vehicular	roads, etc., whi ipal corporation on of the state of which are used	ch are n or r by the	
Purpose of t	he document:	This document explains the tax consequences where a sole proprietorship entered into a public road construction contract. The sole proprietorship was subsequently incorporated, with the sole proprietor as the majority stockholder. The corporation performed the actual work, and the sole proprietorship remained liable under the contract.				
Is the docun	nent clearly written?			Yes X	No	
Does the do	cument provide accurate and	useful ir	oformation?	Yes X	No	



Does the document provide information not currently in a rule, other interpretive or policy statement, or WTD?  X					
Review recommenda	ation:	A. Update B. Cancel			
		C. Leave as is D. Incorporate into rule a	and cancel	X	
Briefly explain your	recomm	endation:			
(Rule 203) and should incorporated into a little information provides	ld be left rule, Rul vided in l	TA indicates that this document in as is. The previous review implies 203 would be the appropriate rule TA 484 would make a good exangenmends that the ETA be incorp	s that if the E de. This revie aple in WAC	TA is to be ewer believes that 458-20-171	
Manager Action:	AL	Accepted recommendation	Date:	4/28/03	
Comments:					